

A Complete Onium Program with R2D at RHIC II

Richard Witt for the Exploratory Physics Working Group on a New Detector for RHIC II

Yale University, Wright Nuclear Structure Laboratory, 272 Whitney Ave., New Haven, CT 06520

Abstract. Following on the discovery of a strongly interacting quark-gluon plasma (QGP) at RHIC, a program of detailed quarkonia measurements is crucial to understanding the nature of deconfinement. Lattice QCD calculations suggest a sequential melting of the quarkonia states in the deconfined medium. Such a melting would lead to a suppression in the measured charmonium and bottomonium yields. However, distinguishing a true suppression from shadowing, absorption, and recombination effects requires detailed measurements of the charmonium states (J/ψ , ψ' , and χ_c) and bottomonium states ($\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(2S)$, and $\Upsilon(3S)$). Also, since measurements are needed not only in A+A, but also in $p+p$ for determining primary yields and in p+A for evaluating absorption, the detector should perform well in all collision environments. To fully realize the program outlined above, a new detector will be required at RHIC-II. We present a proposal for a complete quarkonia program and the abilities of a new detector, R2D, to meet the stated requirements. Comparisons will be made with proposed upgrades to existing RHIC detectors and with the upcoming LHC program.

WHY ONIUM?

Since the proposal of suppressed J/ψ production in heavy-ion collisions as a signature for the formation of a deconfined quark and gluon phase [1], ways have been sought to further exploit the probative value of heavy quark bound states. Lattice QCD calculations have shown an ordering of the binding energies of charmonium and bottomonium bound states from the J/ψ through the $\Upsilon(3S)$ [2]. This ordering suggests these states melt in a sequential manner that would allow a determination of the temperature of the deconfined state. Table 1 contains the binding energies (E_b^i) and disassociation temperatures (T_d), as a fraction of the critical temperature (T_c), for the various onium states.

CHALLENGES

Results on J/ψ suppression in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV were recently presented by the PHENIX collaboration [3]. In a sample of approximately 6.4×10^9 Au+Au events, PHENIX observes a factor of three suppression in the most central collisions relative to production in $p+p$ collisions at the same energy. For comparison, NA50 reports a suppression factor of approximately 2 with respect to Drell-Yan calculations in the most central Pb+Pb collisions at the SPS ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 17.4$ GeV) [4].

However, an unambiguous interpretation of these results is complicated by several factors. Firstly, the effects of nuclear shadowing and absorption must be taken into

TABLE 1. Binding energies, E_s^i , and disassociation temperatures, T_d , (as a fraction of the critical temperature T_c) for charmonium and bottomonium states from two lattice calculations.

State	J/ψ	χ_c	ψ'	Υ	χ_b	Υ'	χ'_b	Υ''
E_s^i [GeV]	0.64	0.20	0.05	1.10	0.67	0.54	0.31	0.20
T_d/T_c^*	1.1	0.74	0.1–0.2	2.31	1.13	1.1	0.83	0.74
$T_d/T_c^\dagger$	~ 2.0	~ 1.1	~ 1.1	~ 4.5	~ 2.0	~ 2.0	–	–

Source: F. Karsch, <http://rhicii-science.bnl.gov/heavy/doc/April05Meeting/karsch-lattice.pdf>

* S. Digal, P. Petreczky, and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B **514** (2001) 57

† C.-Y. Wong, hep-ph/0408020

account. The suppression factor of 2 measured by NA50 accounts for absorption by cold nuclear matter. However, an alternative model that includes the effects of co-mover absorption is in very good agreement with the NA50 measurement [5]. Secondly, as pointed out by Grandchamp and Rapp, an understanding of the interplay of true QGP-related suppression and $c\bar{c}$ pair recombination is also important [6]. Consistency checks are needed to ensure that the charm and bottom quarks freed by onium disassociation are found elsewhere in the final state (flavor conservation). Lastly, the χ_c has a substantial J/ψ radiative decay channel. A simple projection of the NRQCD calculations that fit the recent HERA-B χ_c measurement suggests as much as 70% of the χ_c decay radiatively to J/ψ at RHIC energies [7]. The χ_c has a much lower T_d than the J/ψ , hence melting of the χ_c , which occurs below T_c , will result in an artificially suppressed J/ψ yield. Measurements of the χ_c production at RHIC energies are required to fully understand the contribution of the χ_c feeddown to the observed J/ψ suppression.

MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS

A comprehensive new detector will be necessary to perform all the measurements required for a complete onium program at RHIC II. The basic requirements can be summarized as follows. The baseline measurements, *i.e.* all charmonium and bottomonium states mentioned above in A+A, p +A, and p + p collisions as a function of centrality, transverse momentum, rapidity, $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$, x_F , and beam species, will require very high collision rates and a large acceptance to take sufficient statistics in the available beam time. High mass resolution (approximately 60 MeV/ c^2) is required to resolve the three Υ states. Large acceptance and forward rapidity coverage is needed to reach large x_F and low x_{BJ} . A high resolution vertex determination and full, symmetric azimuthal coverage is required for correlation and elliptic flow measurements that will allow us to disentangle recombination effects and actual suppression. Lastly, the χ_c measurement cannot be done without photon detectors at both mid and forward rapidities.

We have proposed a new detector, R2D, that meets or surpasses all of the above requirements [8]. Two possible baselines have been explored, one based on the SLD magnet, the other on the CDF magnet. In either configuration R2D will offer high precision tracking, PID, and EM and hadronic calorimetry all over $|\eta| < 3.5$ and

TABLE 2. Comparison of expected rates at RHIC-II for charmonium and bottomonium states in $\mu^+\mu^-$ and e^+e^- channels from the current RHIC detectors (including planned upgrades), the LHC detectors, and R2D. Entries with “–” indicate that the information available does not allow reliable estimation to be made. Estimates are for 12 weeks for RHIC-II $p+p$ (238 pb $^{-1}$) and A+A (18 nb $^{-1}$) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, and 1 month for LHC $p+p$ (3 pb $^{-1}$) and A+A (500 μ b $^{-1}$) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV.

Signal		PHENIX	STAR	ALICE	CMS	R2D
$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ or e^+e^-	$p+p$	525,000	1,600,000	135,900	17,219	10,200,000
	A+A	440,000	220,000	749,500	24,000	8,580,000
$\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ or e^+e^-	$p+p$	9,490	29,000	2,450	310	184,000
	A+A	7,900	4,000	14,190	440	154,000
$\chi_c \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- \gamma$ or $e^+e^- \gamma$	$p+p$	142,600	–	–	–	1,560,000
	A+A	119,900	–	–	–	1,320,000
Υ (unresolved)	$p+p$	700	8,300	1,350	3,010	35,200
	A+A	1,440	11,200	11,000	26,000	71,000
Υ (resolved)	$p+p$	210	0	1,350	3,010	35,200
	A+A	400	0	11,000	26,000	71,000
$B \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ or e^+e^-	$p+p$	3,300	19,000	3,580	573	68,000
	A+A	6,270	2,500	12,900	2,060	132,000

Source: A. Frawley, <http://rhicii-science.bnl.gov/heavy/doc/white-paper/wp-draft-jan12.pdf>

$\Delta\phi = 2\pi$. The mass resolution will be approximately 60 MeV/ c^2 , sufficient to resolve the J/ψ , the $\psi(2S)$, and all three Υ states in both dilepton channels. By way of comparison, PHENIX will only be able to achieve a 60 MeV/ c^2 mass resolution in the electron channel after its VTX upgrade. STAR will have a 170 MeV/ c^2 mass resolution in the electron channel even after a possible μ -vertex (HFT) upgrade. R2D will also provide a forward ($3.5 < |\eta| < 4.8$) spectrometer section with silicon tracking, particle ID, hadronic and electromagnetic calorimetry, and a muon chamber. A more recent alternative configuration would provide the necessary detector capabilities to handle electron-ion collisions in the eRHIC era. A comparison of the expected rates from PHENIX, STAR, ALICE, CMS, and R2D is presented in Table 2.

REFERENCES

1. T. Matsui and H. Satz, *Phys. Lett. B* **178**, 416 (1986).
2. F. Karsch, M. T. Mehr, and H. Satz, *Z. Phys. C* **37**, 617 (1988).
3. H. Büsching *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), nucl-ex/0511044
4. G. Borges *et al.* (NA50 Collaboration), *J. Phys. G* **30**, S1351 (2004).
5. P. M. Dinh *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **698**, 579c (2002).
6. L. Grandchamp and R. Rapp, *Nucl. Phys. A* **715**, 545 (2003).
7. H. Kolanoski *et al.* (HERA-B Collaboration), *J. Phys. G* **31**, S799 (2005).
8. P. Steinberg *et al.* (R2D Exploratory Physics Working Group), nucl-ex/0503002.